

# Absorption of Radiation

Lecture 28

[www.physics.uoguelph.ca/~pgarrett/teaching.html](http://www.physics.uoguelph.ca/~pgarrett/teaching.html)

# Review of L-26

- $\beta$ 's lose energy in matter via 3 main processes
  - Ionization
  - Bremsstrahlung
  - Annihilation
- $\alpha$ 's interact with matter mainly through ionization
- A quantity called *range* is defined as
- For kinetic energy of  $\beta$ 's (or  $e^-$ )  $> 0.6$  MeV, empirical relation

$$\text{Range}(\text{kg}/\text{m}^2) = 5.42E - 1.33$$

where  $E$  is in MeV

- Distance of penetration  $D$  for  $\alpha$  particle of energy  $E$  (MeV) *in air* is

$$D(\text{cm}) \approx 0.325E^{3/2}$$

# Review of L-26

- Main processes for  $\gamma$  rays interacting with matter
  - Coherent scattering
  - Compton scattering
  - Photoelectric effect
  - Pair production
  - Photonuclear reactions

# Linear energy transfer (*LET*)

- Particles can lose energy continuously along their path when interacting with matter, and so continuously slow down
- When working out the impact to biological systems (or matter), the amount of energy lost by the particle per unit distance, called the *linear energy transfer*, or *LET*, is important

$$LET = \frac{dE}{dx}$$

- Particles with a large *LET* can do a large amount of damage, but usually over a very short range
- Particles with a small *LET* do lesser damage, but can affect tissues further from the source
- The energy of a particle is a nonlinear function of the distance travelled, so the *LET* can vary enormously over a particles path
- Very often the *LET* is largest towards the *end* of a particles path, so radiation treatments for cancer “tune” energies of, e.g.  $e^-$  beams so that the  $e^-$  stop in tumours

# Particles and *LET*

- Heavy charged particles and  $\alpha$ 's have a very high *LET*
- $e^\pm$  have a *LET* that varies from small for high energies, to large for low energies
  - This is what makes  $e$  beams useful for cancer therapies
  - Do minimal amount of damage to tissue at entry point, maximum damage to tumour
- Protons have a larger *LET* than  $e^-$ , but smaller than  $\alpha$ 's
  - Also used in cancer therapies
- Other particles used in beam therapies for cancer treatment
  - Neutrons
  - Muons – like “heavy” electrons
  - Pions
  - Accelerated ions
- Each has its advantages/disadvantages
- By far most popular is X-ray beam therapy since every hospital has X-ray facility, but not always particle accelerator

# Absorption of radiation

- Radiation classified in two main ways
  - Ionizing (charged particles, UV, X-rays,  $\gamma$ -rays, neutrons, ...)
  - Non-ionizing (microwaves, radiowaves, ...)
- Most damaging form of radiation are those causing ionization
- Ionizing radiation quantified by amount of ionization the radiation causes in dry air at NTP
- Physical processes that deposit energy in matter lead to ionization if an electron – positive-ion pair can be created
  - In dry air, this requires  $\sim 35$  eV of energy (at NTP)
  - ex. An electron of energy 5 MeV is stopped in air at NTP, how many  $e^-$ -ion pairs are created?
    - $n = 5 \times 10^6 / 35 \approx 143000$  ion pairs

# Exposure

- The strength of the radiation field is quantified by the *exposure* – the amount of radiation that will produce charge of either sign in dry air at NTP
- Exposure units are Coulombs/kg
  - Unit is *Röntgen* (R)
  - $1 \text{ R} = 2.58 \times 10^{-4} \text{ C/kg}$
- Ex. A radiation field causes the total production of  $10^9$  ion-pairs per kg of air
  - Exposure =  $10^9 \times 1.602 \times 10^{-19} = 1.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C/kg} = 0.62 \text{ } \mu\text{R}$
- Very often, exposure is given in form of a *rate*, i.e. R/hr
  - If the same field now causes  $10^9$  ion pairs/s,  $0.62 \text{ } \mu\text{R/s} = 2.23 \text{ mR/hr}$

# Radiation dose

- *Dose* = amount of energy absorbed per unit mass
  - SI unit Gray (Gy) = 1 J / kg
  - Old unit rad = 0.01 J / kg
- For charged particles, dose calculations straightforward (add up energy of all particles emitted divide by total mass of matter)
- For photons, more difficult (since photons are not slowed down and stopped by matter, but attenuated and scattered)
- Photon attenuation is (works for  $\gamma$  and X and UV,...)

$$I = I_0 e^{-\mu x}$$

$\mu$  is attenuation coefficient

- Need energy absorption



# Absorption coefficient

- Photon scattering – photon can leave volume without depositing all its energy
- *Absorption* coefficient takes into account photoelectric, pair, Compton absorption
- *Scattering* coefficient takes into account scattered photons
- Attenuation coefficient = absorption + scattering
- Very often, quantities expressed as mass attenuation, absorption,... coefficients

$$\mu_m = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \quad \text{m}^2/\text{kg}$$

with  $\rho$  density

- For  $\gamma$  rays with  $100 \text{ keV} < E_\gamma < 5 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $\mu_m \sim 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$

# Absorbed dose

- For photons, dose ( $D$ ) is

$$D \approx 3.0 \times 10^{-3} NEt \quad \text{J/kg}$$

$E$  is the photon energy (J),  $N$  is photon rate (#/s),  $t$  the time

- Ex. A 1  $\mu\text{Ci}$  source is ingested that is both a  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  emitter, and spreads uniformly over the body of a 60 kg person. The average  $\beta$  energy is 1 MeV, and for each decay, a 1.5 MeV  $\gamma$  is emitted. What is the dose rate?
  - The  $\beta$  energy is totally absorbed =  $1 \text{ MeV} \times 1 \times 10^{-6} \times 3.7 \times 10^{10}$   
 $= 3.7 \times 10^4 \text{ MeV/s} \Rightarrow 617 \text{ MeV/kg/s}$  (divide by body mass)
  - The  $\gamma$  energy absorbed
$$D_{\gamma} \text{ s}^{-1} = 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \times 3.7 \times 10^4 \times 1.5 = 166.5 \quad \text{MeV/kg/s}$$
  - Dose rate = 45  $\mu\text{rad/hr}$

# Biological half life

- Body processes ingested materials at specific rates, depending on the chemistry and metabolism
- Elimination of materials by body can be described by an exponential with a *biological* half life  $t_{1/2}^b$
- Radionuclide ingested by body
  - Amount left after time  $t$  is

$$N_b(t) = N_0 e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda_b t} = N_0 e^{-\lambda_{eff} t}$$

where

$$\lambda_{eff} = \lambda + \lambda_b = \frac{t_{1/2} + t_{1/2}^b}{t_{1/2} t_{1/2}^b}$$

# Total dose

- Take our previous example, work out the total dose assuming a half life of 5 yr, and biological half life of 6 days
- $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \approx \ln 2 / 6 \text{ d}^{-1}$
- At time  $t$ , the # decays that occur in the body in interval  $dt$  is  $A dt$  ( $A$  is the activity =  $\lambda N$ )
- Total # decays in the body is

$$\int_0^T A dt = \int_0^T \lambda N_0 e^{-\lambda_{\text{eff}} t} dt = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{eff}}} N_0 \left(1 - e^{-\lambda_{\text{eff}} T}\right)$$

- With our example of a 1  $\mu\text{Ci}$  source,  
#decays =  $37000 \times 6 \times 24 \times 3600 / \ln(2) = 2.77 \times 10^{10}$ , and the total dose is  $2.77 \times 10^{10} \times (1/60 (\beta' \text{s}) + 0.003 (\gamma' \text{s})) \times 1.6 \times 10^{-13}$   
 $= 8.72 \times 10^{-5} = 87 \mu\text{Gy} = 8.7 \text{ mrad}$

# Dose equivalent

- Different radiations have different consequences on biological tissues
- Take this into account by giving each type of radiation a weighting factor so that they can be compared to the equivalent dose of X-rays
  - Relative biological effectiveness (RBE) or radiation weighting factor ( $w_R$ ) – these are just numbers
- Taking into account the weighting factor, we have the dose equivalent  $H$ 
  - SI unit Sievert (Sv)
  - Old unit rem 100 rem = 1 Sv

$$H = \sum w_R \times D$$

So our previous example,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  have  $w_R = 1$ , so the dose equivalent is 87  $\mu$ Sv or 8.7 mrem